UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/748,723	12/30/2003	Christopher Robert Dale Wilson	33331-US-CIP3 (85801_CIP3	7524
	27975 7590 05/04/2009 ALLEN, DYER, DOPPELT, MILBRATH & GILCHRIST P.A.		EXAMINER	
1401 CITRUS CENTER 255 SOUTH ORANGE AVENUE		NGUYEN, QUANG N		
	P.O. BOX 3791 ORLANDO, FL 32802-3791		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2441	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/04/2009	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

creganoa@addmg.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/748,723	WILSON ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		QUANG N. NGUYEN	2441			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)[\	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Fe	shruary 2000				
•	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
٥/١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	closed in accordance with the practice under z	x parte Quayre, 1999 O.D. 11, 40	0.0.210.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)🛛	☑ Claim(s) <u>24,25,27,28,39,40,42-49,51-55 and 65-69</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)						
6)□	6) Claim(s) <u>24,25,27,28,39,40,42-49,51-55,65 and 69</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) 66-68 is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
•	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
α <sub>/l</sub>	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	<ul> <li>1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>20090226</u> . 6) Other:						

#### **Detailed Action**

1. This Office Action is responsive to the Amendment filed on 02/16/2009. Claims 24, 39, 45, 51 and 65 have been amended. Claims 1-23, 26, 29-38, 41, 50 and 56-64 have been canceled. Claims 24-25, 27-28, 39-40, 42-49, 51-55 and 65-69 remain pending.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 02/26/2009 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 24, 39, 45, 51 and 65 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, <u>first</u> paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a

Art Unit: 2441

way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

4. Applicants amended claims 24, 39, 45, 51 and 65 with new limitation "using login information stored at the server, the login information being for the external email system and associated with the unavailable recipient" and referred to its corresponding description in the specification (paragraph [0047]) for supporting the claimed amendment. After reviewing paragraph [0047] of the specification which describes "to forward the message to external email or IM clients 38, 42, the server complex 24 uses email and IM gateways 315, 313 to login to the respective external system 35, 37 on behalf of the message sender using the message sender's external service login user ID and password. This login information for the sender is stored by the server complex 24. The message is then forwarded to the external client 38, 42 using the sender's external service account", Examiner respectfully submits that the claim(s) contains subject matter "using login information stored at the server, the login information being for the external email system and associated with the unavailable recipient", which was not described in such a way as to reasonably enable one skilled in the relevant art to which it pertains to make and/or use the invention.

Examiner respectfully requests that Applicants clearly point out "how" and "where" in the specification to enable one skilled in the art to "using login information stored at the server, the login information being for the external email system and associated with the unavailable recipient".

Art Unit: 2441

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 6. Claim 24-25, 27-28, 39-40, 42-49, 51-55, 65 and 69 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable by McConnell et al. (US 2003/0149774 A1), hereinafter "McConnell", in view of Knauerhase (US 2003/0023691 A1).
- 7. As to claim 24, **McConnell** teaches a method of messaging between a wireless mobile terminal operating on a wireless carrier network and a networked computer on a landline network, the method comprising:

starting a client on a device selected from the group including the wireless mobile terminal (i.e., Mobile Station MS 48) and the networked computer (i.e., the networked computers A, B and C as in Fig. 3), the client for communicating messages in a push-to-talk (PTT) mode (McConnell, paragraphs [0051-0054]);

the client sending a login message to a server (i.e., an application server such as PTT server 68, IM server 70, or VM server 72) located outside of the wireless carrier

Art Unit: 2441

network, the server communicating with the client by way of a packet network (i.e., by way of IP network 50 as in Fig. 3) (McConnell, paragraphs [0051-0054] and [0068]);

the server establishing a communication session with the client in response to receiving the login message (i.e., in response to receiving the service request from the MS 48, the application server might be setting up and facilitating a group communication, such as a PTT session, or multicasting an instant message, or prompt for and record a voice message) (McConnell, paragraphs [0042] and [0051-0054]);

at the device, selecting at least one recipient for a PTT message, the at least one recipient including the other device from the group including the wireless mobile terminal and the networked computer (i.e., a user of MS 48 invokes a communication application on MS 48, seeking to establish communication session over IP network 50 with a user operating networked terminal B) (McConnell, paragraphs [0072-0075] and [0080]);

sending the PTT message to the server by way of the packet network using a PTT function provided by the client (i.e., a user of MS 48 invokes a PTT application to set up the PTT session between the communicating entity MS 48 and entities A, B and C) (McConnell, paragraphs [0072-0075]); and

the server selectively forwarding the PTT message to the at least one recipient that is available (McConnell, paragraphs [0072-0079]), and based on the respective availability of the at least one recipient, storing the PTT message for later delivery to an unavailable recipient (McConnell, paragraphs [0004], [0064-0065] and [0080-0084]), and the server also forwarding the PTT message to an external email system for

Page 6

Art Unit: 2441

delivery to the unavailable recipient (i.e., based on the contents of the message and/or other factors such as the availability of the recipient, the service agent might proxy/forward to an appropriate application server such as PTT server 68, IM server 70 and/or VM server 72 (McConnell, paragraph [0056]), wherein the three services: PTT service, IM service and VM service being used as examples, and without limitation, wherein the particular services carried out by application server 16 can also take any of variety of forms, as well-known to one of ordinary skill in the art, such as an email service) (McConnell, paragraphs [0004] and [0042]).

**McConnell** does not explicitly teach determining availability of the at least one recipient to currently receive the PTT message and forward the PTT message to an external email system for delivery to the unavailable recipient using login information stored at the server and associated with the unavailable recipient.

In the same field of endeavor, **Knauerhase** teaches a system and method for routing a message based on the accessibility ("reachability" and "availability") of an intended recipient's associated communication channels (e.g., email, instant message, cell, landline, etc.), wherein a discovery component may seek to determine, and continuously or periodically update, the accessibility state of each of a recipient's potential communications channels (i.e., determining availability of the at least one recipient to currently receive the PTT message) by checking with (external) email server and IM server connected to the Internet to see if a user/recipient is currently available via the associated email or IM system (**Knauerhase**, **paragraphs** [0016-0020]). If it is determined that the at least one of the recipient's communication channels is both

Art Unit: 2441

reachable and available, the process uses a direct connection, if available to send the message (i.e., if the recipient is currently available via the associated email system/server, the message will be forwarded to the email system/server for delivery to the recipient) (Knauerhase, paragraph [0043]). Knauerhase also teaches the Routing Decision component may make intelligent routing decisions based not only the Accessibility State information, but also based on other information that could affect the ultimate routing decision such as stored Vital Statistics including the user's name, position, department, contact information, security information such as the user's public keys or certificates, and/or any other personal information about the user (i.e., stored login information associated with the user/recipient) (Knauerhase, paragraphs [0031] and [0036]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the features of determining availability of the at least one recipient to currently receive the PTT message and forward the PTT message to an external email system for delivery to the unavailable recipient using login information stored at the server and associated with the unavailable recipient, as disclosed by **Knauerhase**, into the teachings of **McConnell**.

One would be motivated to do so to allow the system to optimally and intelligently route the message to the recipient over one or more communication channels based on presence information, as a result, messages may be addressed and routed to recipients with dramatically increased ease, flexibility, and/or situational appropriateness (Knauerhase, paragraph [0014]).

Art Unit: 2441

8. As to claim 25, McConnell-Knauerhase teaches the method of claim 24,

wherein the PTT message is selected from a group including a voice message, a text

message, and a combination of the foregoing (McConnell, paragraphs [0004] and

[0042]).

9. As to claim 27, McConnell-Knauerhase teaches the method of claim 24, further

comprising the server forwarding the PTT message to an external instant messaging

(IM) system (McConnell, paragraphs [0004] and [0042]).

10. As to claim 28, McConnell-Knauerhase teaches the method of claim 24,

wherein the PTT message includes streaming voice (i.e., nowadays, electronic devices

such as mobile phones, PDAs and the like, are capable of communicating voice, data,

images, video and other multimedia content, wherein streaming voice is a form of

multimedia content) (McConnell, paragraphs [0004], [0039] and [0042]).

11. Claims 39-40 and 42-44 are corresponding computer program product claims

that do not recite or define any new limitations above method claims 24-25 and 27-28;

therefore, they are rejected under the same rationale.

12. Claims 45-49 are corresponding wireless terminal claims that do not recite or

define any new limitations above method claims 24-25 and 27-28; therefore, they are

rejected under the same rationale.

Art Unit: 2441

13. Claims 51-55 recite networked device (i.e., networked terminals A, B, or C)

claims that contain similar limitations as wireless mobile terminal (i.e., Mobile Station

MS 48) claims 45-49; therefore, they are rejected under the same rationale.

14. Claim 65 is a corresponding system claim that does not recite or define any new

limitations above claim 24; therefore, it is rejected under the same rationale.

15. As to claim 69, **McConnell-Knauerhase** teaches the method of claim 24, further

comprising the client periodically sending a keep-alive message to the server for

maintaining as active the communication session between the server and the client

(Official Notice taken here that it's obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the

mobile/client device periodically sends a keep-alive (ping) message to the server to

maintain the communication session active).

Allowable Subject Matter

16. Claims 66-68 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim,

but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of

the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2441

Conclusion

17. Applicant's arguments as well as request for reconsideration filed on 02/16/2009

have been fully considered but they are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

18. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in

this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37

CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

19. Further references of interest are cited on Form PTO-892, which is an

attachment to this Office Action.

Art Unit: 2441

20. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Quang N. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571)

272-3886.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

SPE, Tonia Dollinger, can be reached at (571) 272-4170. The fax phone number for the

organization is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Quang N. Nguyen/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2441